

Alameda County Congestion Management Agency

ACTAC July 8, 2008 Agenda Item 3.4 Handout

1333 BROADWAY, SUITE 220 • OAKLAND, CA 94612 • PHONE: (510) 836-2560 • FAX: (510) 836-2185 E-MAIL: mail@accma.ca.gov • WEB SITE: accma.ca.gov

July 3, 2008

AC Transit Oirector Greg Harper

Alameda County Supervisors Mate Miley Scott Haggerty Chair

City of Alameda Mayor Beverly Johnson

City of Albany Councilmemoer Farid Javandel

> BART Director Thomas Blalock

City of Berkeley Councilmember Kriss Worthington

City of Dublin Mayer Janet Lockhart

City of Emeryville Vice-Mayor Ruth Alkin

City of Fremont Vice-Mayor Robert Wieckowski

City of Hayward Mayor Michael Sweeney

City of Livermore Mayor Marshall Kamena

City of Newark Councilmember Luis Freilas

City of Oakland Councilmember Larry Reid

City of Piedmont Councilmember John Chlang

City of Pleasanton Mayor Jennifer Hosterman

City of San Leandro Councilmember Joyce R. Starosciak

City of Union City Mayer Mark Green Vice Chair

Executive Director Dennis R. Fay Mr. Doug Kimsey MTC 101 Eighth Street Oakland, CA 94607

Subject:

Comments on the 2009 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) Draft

Investment Plan

Dear Doug:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the RTP draft Investment Plan's High Priority, T2035 STIP/SLPP Financially Constrained, and Committed project lists. The ACCMA appreciates MTC's efforts in accommodating an early review and the amount of work that it has taken to get to this point. Our comments are focused on Alameda County projects and regional/multiple county projects in the RTP that affect Alameda County and how they compare to Alameda County's adopted 2008 Countywide Transportation Plan.

- 1) The ACCMA requests: (a) that MTC honor the CMA's Transportation 2035 RTP Project Submittal adopted by the ACCMA Board on February 28th, 2008 and forwarded to MTC on March 5th, 2008; and (b) that any new projects for Alameda County submitted directly to MTC and not through the CMA be included only in the Vision, not in the Financially Constrained portion of the Regional Transportation Plan.
- 2) It appears that the RTP Investment Plan will have a High Priority project and a Financially Constrained project list similar to what the ACCMA Board adopted in the 2008 Alameda Countywide Transportation Plan. In comparing the 2008 Alameda Countywide High Priority projects to the High Priority projects and the Financially Constrained projects proposed for the RTP, many of the Countywide High Priority projects do not appear to be included in either RTP list.

The CMA's policy for the five high priority projects in the Countywide Transportation Plan is that "Resources will be focused on these projects over the next several State and Federal Funding cycles to ensure delivery of improvements." The five high priority projects in the Alameda Countywide Plan are listed below and only right-of-way preservation in the I-580 corridor for transit (RTP Committed project) and the auxiliary lanes on I-580 at Isabel (RTP Financially Constrained Project) appear to be included on the RTP High Priority or T2035 STIP/SLPP Financially Constrained lists.

Mr. Doug Kimsey MTC July 3, 2008

- a. AC Transit East Bay Bus Rapid Transit on Telegraph/E. 14th
- b. Warm Springs BART
- c. I-580 Corridor Improvements:
 - -HOT Lanes from Greenville Road to I-680
 - -Westbound auxiliary lane at Isabel (included in RTP Financially Constrained List)
 - -Right-of-way preservation for transit (included in RTP Committed List)
- d. TOD Improvement Program
- e. Arterial Performance Initiative Program

The CMA requests that MTC clarify what it means to be a High Priority project in the RTP and how the High Priority projects will be funded. If they are inconsistent with Alameda County's priorities identified in the 2008 Countywide Plan, then they should not be funded out of county discretionary funds. We also request that MTC include the above five projects as High Priority or Financially Constrained projects in the RTP consistent with the priorities established in the Alameda Countywide Transportation Plan.

3) Alameda County's share of the requested discretionary funds identified in the RTP draft T2035 STIP/SLPP Financially Constrained project list without ITIP is \$838.7 million. It is assumed that these are escalated costs. On March 5th, the ACCMA submitted \$898.1 million in Financially Constrained and High Priority projects in current dollars without ITIP, which is more than the escalated amount shown proposed in the T2035 STIP/SLPP Financially Constrained project list.

As described in Comment 2 above, a majority of the High Priority projects (\$74 million) identified in our Countywide Transportation Plan, which are the projects to which revenues will be assigned over the next several STIP cycles, are not included in the RTP Financially Constrained or High Priority lists. In addition, Attachment 1 shows the 2008 Alameda Countywide Transportation Financially Constrained projects that appear to have not been included in the T2035 STIP/SLPP Financially Constrained project list. By category they are: Bicycle and Pedestrian projects - \$33.8 million, Transit Oriented Development projects - \$81.6 million, and Other - \$6 million. These projects represent countywide priorities. If they are to be funded through revenues assigned to programs, then Alameda County would like to be assured that there are enough revenues in the programs assigned to our County to fund these priorities.

RTP High Priority Projects:

- 4) Attachment 2 shows our comments on the RTP High Priority projects summary table. Please clarify that the difference in project costs are due to escalation.
- 5) RTPID 230369 Net HOT Network Revenues: Does this project include the High Priority Alameda Countywide Transportation Plan project entitled I-580 Corridor Improvements (HOT Lane from Greenville Road to I-680) listed in (c) above?
- 6) RTPID 230111 Implement AC Transit Transit Priority Measures etc...(Element 2): This project is a 2008 Alameda Countywide Transportation Plan Vision project and should not be funded through countywide discretionary funding sources before other ACCMA Board adopted Financially Constrained projects.
- 7) RTPID 22776 Widen Route 84 near Stanley Boulevard: This project is a financially constrained project in the Countywide Transportation Plan entitled "RT 84 Expressway Widening Jack London to Vallecitos." It is not one of the five Countywide Transportation Plan High Priority projects, but is included in the County's STIP/SLPP Priority List. It should be moved to the portion of the table for "High Priority projects included on County's Priority List."
- 8) RTPID 22657 Construct westbound truck climbing lane on Altamont Pass is a project for Alameda County submitted directly to MTC and not through the CMA Board. Per Board policy adopted in May 2008, it should be included only in the Vision, not in the Financially Constrained portion of the Regional Transportation Plan.

RTP T2035 STIP/SLPP Financially Constrained:

- 9) Attachment 3 shows our comments on the RTP T2035 STIP/SLPP Financially Constrained projects table with respect to costs. Please clarify that the difference in project costs are due to escalation.
- 10) RTPID 22013 Construct I-580 eastbound truck climbing lane at Altamont Summit: This is now a committed project and should be moved to the Committed list.

Mr. Doug Kimsey MTC July 3, 2008

Committed:

- 11) RTPID 230271 Add additional express bus service via new routes and longer hours on the I-880 corridor: This project is on the I-80 corridor, not I-880 as the title suggests. In the Countywide Transportation Plan, it is identified as being funded with HOT Lane revenues. Please clarify why this project is being identified as a committed project.
- 12) RTPID 98139 Obtain right of way and make improvements for ACE rail service expansion: In the Countywide Transportation Plan, this project is a Tier 3 with \$20 million in funding limited to TCIF/ITIP. If this project is considered committed in the RTP, please clarify why the other Trade Corridor/ITIP projects not committed as well.
- 13) RTPID 230630 Construct westbound off-ramp to connect I-580 to Dublin/Pleasanton BART station: The project cost for this project should be \$38 million. Because only \$12 million is available, alternatives should be identified and studied to implement this Resolution 3434 required project and it should be noted that the project is not fully funded.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment on the RTP Draft Investment Plan. Please let me know if you require additional information or would like to meet to discuss these issues.

Sincerely, Lanawara Luthonithira

for Beth Walukas

Manager of Planning

Cc: File: 2009 Regional Transportation Plan

Dennis Fay, Executive Director

Frank Furger, Chief Deputy Director

Saravana Suthanthira, Senior Transportation Planner

Attachment 1: Alameda CWTP Projects Not in RTP

RTPID	CWTP ID	Project Name	CWTP Funding Request
High Prior	ity		
230134	8	TOD Improvement Program	\$30.0
230224	9	Arterial Performance Initiative Program	\$15.0
22664	55	I-580 HOT Lanes from Greenville Road west to I-680	\$29.0
		Total	\$74.0
Bike and F	edestrian		
230204	bundled	Countywide Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvements	\$33.8
TOD			
230141	bundled	TOD/PDAs	\$81.6
Other			
21154	4	Additonal buses for Frequent Service Transit Network	
		PSR Development for SR 84 Widening - Pigeon Pass	
230244	68	to I-680	\$2.0
		Total	\$6.0

Attachment 2: High Priority Project List for Alameda County

,	· - · · · · · · · · · ·	morning a region when the rings	roun country						
		Project/Program	Project Cost	Existing	ERequested Discretionary Funds	Funding	BC Ratio	Number of Goals Strongly Supported	ACCMA Comment
-		Included on County's STIF ost >=3 and/or Strongly Supp		List					
Various		Various HOV Projects							

Various Counties	Various	Various HOV Projects Assumed Fully Funded will Regional HOT Network tolls				,			
Regional/ Multiple Countíes	230369	Net HOT Network Revenues - Corridor Mobility Improvements TBD	6100.0	0.0	6100.0		18.0	4	Does this RTP project assume the following CWTP High Priority Projects: 1-580 HOT Lanes and right of way preservation for transit?
Alameda	21992	Implement AC Transit Transit Priority Measures (TPM) and Corridor Improvements (Element 1)	14.8 20.05 4	0.0	14.8 20.0 54		29.5	4	Alameda Countywide Plan assumed the figures shown in bold, is the increase because it included Contra Costa County?
Alameda	22780	Improve Grand-MacArthur corridor for future AC Transit bus rapid transit services	35 44	8.0 Partial Funding	6.0			4	

High Priority Projects Excluded from County's STIP/SLPP Priority List

Projects wi	ith Benefit/C	Cost >=3 and/or Strongly Suppor	ts >=1 Goal					-
Alameda	230111	Implement AC Transit Transit Priority Measures and construct street and bridge improvements throughout the county, including signal and other technology upgrades, more frequent service, passenger loading stations, street and sidewalk improvements (Element 2)	23.5 3 6.41 8	0.0	23.5 36.448	. 29.	5 4	in Countywide Vision.
Alameda	22776	Widen Roule 84 near Stanley Boulevard	124 435.2	114.6	15 20.6	13.	0 1	This project is number 58 in the CWTP entitled RT 84 Expressway Widening - Jack London to Vallecitos. It is in the CWTP Financially Constrained Plan and should be on the above list.
Alameda	22657	Construct 1-580 westbound truck climbing fane on the Altamont Pass	90.8	0.0	90.8	7.0	5 1	This project is not in the CWTP.

ATMCHMENT: 3

<u></u>	7	110-10-1	<u> </u>		·			
T 0005 0	TID/O1 DD 5'	1.11.0						
1-2035 5	HPISLPP FII	nancially Constrained Project	LIST	 				
			Escal	ated to YOE (millions\$)			
County	RTPID	Project / Program	Project Cost	Committed Existing Funds	Requested Discretionary Funds	Prpopsed ITIP Funding	BC Ratlo	Number of Goals Strongly Supported
Alameda	21992	Implement AC Transit Transit Priority Measures (TPM) and Corridor Improvements (Element 1)	20.1	0.0	20:1 14.8		29.5	4
		Implement AC Transit Transit Priority Measures and construct street and bridge improvements throughout the						
Alameda	230111 WS1M	county, including signal and other technology upgrades, more frequent service, passenger loading stations, street and sidewalk improvements (Element 2)	36.4	0.0	36.4		29.5	
Alameda	22776	Widen Route 84 near Stanley Boulovard 5284 Expressively Widenes Thek Lowew to Value	135.3 \24 .nas	114.6	-20. 8 \ S	-	13.1	1
Alameda	22013 Commited	Construct I-580 eastbound truck climbing lane at the Altamont Summit	47.1 83.5	77.1 + 65.0		-	5.1	1
Alameda	94506	Construct an improved east- west connection between I- 880 and Route 238 (Mission Boulevard) from North Fremont to Union City	-1697.1 LSO	150.6	- 18. 5 9.6		1.1	0
Alameda	230099 TKT3	Construct northbound I-680 to westbound I-580 connector Phase 1	576.2 619	0.0	576.2	576.0	1.0	1
Alameda		Improve I-580/Vasco Road interchange	-60:4 55	53.9	- 6.5 4			1
Alameda	21103	Construct grade separation structure on Central Avenue (4-lane arterial street) at Union Pacific Railroad crossing	· 23.7 VB, 3	6.8	1 6.9 122 Ce			0
Mameda	21112	Improve Crow Canyon Road by widening shoulders, realigning curves and constructing retaining walls	48.8 14.5	4.2	14:0 11:0			0

County	RTPID	Project / Program	Project Cost	Committed Existing Funds	Requested Discretionary Funds	Prpopsed ITIP Funding	BC Ratio	Number of Goals Strongly Supported
Alameda	21123	Expand Union City BART Station to create intermodal rail station	25.8 ZJ.	8.0	1 7.8 - 1 4			2
Alameda	211325	Extend BART 5.4 miles from Fremont to Warm Springs	.890.0 747	769.0	1 21.0 7 (47)			4
Alameda	21139	Improve Vasco Road with safety features including realignment, widening and installation of median barriers	46.8- \3, Z	3.7	13.1- 10			0
Alameda	21144	Reconstruct I-80/Gilman Avenue interchange into-a—- roundabout at freeway entrance/exit	8.6 7	1.7	6.9 5.5			1
Alameda	21159	Expand/enhance AC Transit facilities in northern Alameda County, including new operating facility	2 2.9 16.1	0.0	22.9 16 \			3
Alameda	21451	Construct additional turn and bus loading lanes on Hesperian Boulevard and East 14th Streets	3.8 Z-4	1.5	2.8 Z. O			0
Alameda	21475	Improve I-580/First Street interchange in Livermore	-57: 3 3 子,	46.9	10.4 4			1
∖lameda	21477	Improve I-580/Greenville Road interchange in Livermore	-64:7 43	53.8	1 0.9 4			1
Nameda	216188.4	Dumbarton rail service; Includes \$40 million ITIP for Oakland Subdivision ROW	596.0 539,4	301.0	4 0:0 1908	40.0		4
Mameda	22021	Expand AC Transit transfer centers and park-and-ride facility in central Alameda County	-2.8 -Z.0	0.0	2:8 2:0			0
lameda	22084	Improve connection to the Oakland International Airport's North Field, connecting Route 61 (Doolittle Drive) with Earhart Road and extend the infield area at North Field	-15.6 10/O	7.1	8:4 5V)			1
lameda		Martinez Subdivision Grade Separations	-7.5.0 216		75.0 107	75.0		1
lameda	22455	Improve Telegraph Avenue and International Boulevard corridors for future AC Transit bus rapid transit services	250.0	165.0	85.0			4

County	RTPID	Project / Program	Project Cost	Committed Existing Funds	Requested Discretionary Funds	Prpopsed ITIP Funding	BC Ratio	Number of Goals Strongly Supported
Alameda	22675	Improve station access to BART throughout region	4 1. 2 26.5	0.0	41.2 Zle,5			3
Alameda	22676	Improve station capacity at 43 BART stations throughout the district	50. 5 32.5	0.0	5 0: 5 32.5			4
Alameda	22765	Improve I-580/I-680 interchange HOV connectors(Design)	1 8.8 1 6721	0.0	18.8 15.0			0
Alameda	22766	Analyze Fruitvale Avenue Rail Bridge for seismic retrofit	3.1 2.6	1.8	4 .3			1
Alameda	22768	Retrofit and repair three Oakland-Alameda estuary bridges for seismic safety	4.7 4.0	3.3	1-4 1.0			1
Alameda	227/80	Improve Grand-MacArthur corridor for future AC Transit bus rapid transit services	- 41.0 35.0	8.0	6.0			4
Alameda	22783	Analyze Fruitvale Avenue Bridge for seismic retrofit	-9:6 8:0	3.4	.6.3 510			1
Alameda	98/139 198/139	Obtain right-of-way and make improvements for ACE rail service expansion	150.0 189. 1	75.0	75.0	75.0 75.0		4
Alameda	98207	Improve I-880/Broadway- Jackson interchange in Oakland, including construction of new on- and off-ramps and signals	32.8 ZlevO	10.1	-22 .7 172			1
Alameda	98208	Construct soundwalls in locations TBD	1. 6. 4)O	0.0	1 6.4 10			0
Alameda	230047	Reconstruct I-880 West A Street interchange	34.9 27	0.0	34.97 Z7			1
Alameda	230053	Reconstruct I-880 Industrial Parkway interchange	18.4 19.7	0.0	18.7 14.7			1
Alameda		Reconstruct two Dublin interchanges: (1) I-580/Fallon Road Interchange, and (2) I- 580/Hacienda Drive interchange	,56:5 37.4	29.8	26.7 \(0			1
Alameda	230108	Widen I-80 Eastbound Powell Street off-ramp in Emeryville	2:8 \~ 0 \~ \)	0.4	2.4 \1.5			1

County	RTPID	Project / Program	Project Cost	Committed Existing Funds	Requested Discretionary Funds	Prpopsed ITIP Funding	BC Ratio	Number of Goals Strongly Supported
Alameda	230110	Construct a grade separation at Route 262/Warm Springs Drive/Mission Boulevard	15.8 10	0.0	1.5. 0 10			1
Alameda	230114	Widen Auto Mall Parkway from four to six lanes between I-680 and I-880, including intersection improvements	52.6 42	38.1	14:5 9			0
Alameda	230116	Plan, design and construct improvements to rail crossings in Berkeley, including grade separation at Gilman Street, road closures, and at-grade crossing————improvements	26 	0.0	2.8°			0
Alameda	230120	Construct truck parking facilities in Northern Alameda County	6.4 5	0.0	6:4 5			1
Alameda	230122	Implement a Value-Pricing Parking and Transportation Demand Management program in Berkeley	,§:0 5	2.2	3.8 3			
Alameda	230125	Improve Ashby I-80 Interchange/Aquatic Park access	28 Z	0.0	2.5			0
Alameda	230132	Improve I-580/Isabel Avenue Interchange by enhancing local streetscape and bicycle & pedestrian facilities	44:0 2B	34.6	9.4 4			1
Alameda	230169	Provide ITS elements for the City of Oakland including new controllers, signal interconnect/coordination, transit priority, automatic vehicle locator, speed and level of service monitoring through radar detection, real time arrival information, CCTV, and	34:2 VZ	0.0	34:2 V			2
Alameda	230170	Improve access to I-880 from 42nd and High Street	27.4 24.9	6.3	21.1 19			1
Alameda	230171	Improve Route 24/Caldecott Tunnel, including bicycle and transit access and soundwall improvements	£.5	2.2	ZZ Co			0

County	RTPID	Project / Program	Project Cost	Committed Existing Funds	Requested Discretionary Funds	Prpopsed ITIP Funding	BC Ratio	Number of Goals Strongly Supported
Alameda	230198	Upgrade traffic signal systems, including new controllers, improved system communication, facilities upgrades and relocations, emergency vehicle preemption, improved speed and level of service monitoring	,2.7 Z	0.0	21 Z			2
Alameda	230396	Provide Community Based Transportation Plan improvements to improve mobility for low income residents	30.1 29.3	0.0	30:1 Z4,9			1
Alameda	230608	Construct-a-westbound——— auxiliary lane between First Avenue and Isabel Avenue along I-580 in the Tri-Valley	12:3 10	0.0	12:3 10:0			1
		TOTAL	3,872.9	1,980.1	1,604.7	766.0	79.4	67.0
				less ITIP	838.7			

.

.

.

County	RTPID	Project / Program	Project Cost	Committed Existing Funds	Requested Discretionary Funds	Prpopsed ITIP Funding	BC Ratio	Number of Goals Strongly Supported
Regional/ Multiple Counties	230369	Net HOT Network Revenues - Corridor Mobility Improvements TBD	6100.0	0.0	6100.0		18.0	4
Regional/ Multiple Counties		Freeway Performance Initiative	,18	0.0	1.8 1.5		28.4	2
		TOTAL	6,101.6	-	6,101.6	-	482.4	641.0
				less ITIP	6,101.6			

.